BILL NO. S-81-11- 57

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. S- 276-81

AN ORDINANCE approving Change Order No. 1 & 2, 350-80, Elmcrest Addition, Federal Grant No. C-180599-09, in connection with the Board of Public Works

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA:

SECTION 1: That Change Order No. 1, for 350-80 Elmcrest Addition, Federal Grant No. C-180599-09, to Richard Ness Excavating & Trucking Co., Inc. in connection with the Board of Public Works, for:

> due to a great amount of rock it became impossible to bore this crossing and we had to hand tunnel the crossing.

SECTION 2: That Change Order No. 2, for 350-80, Elmcrest Addition, Federal Grant No. C-180599-09, to Richard Ness Excavating & Trucking Co., Inc. in connection with the Board of Public Works, for:

> items #10 & 11 - during construction of this project, it was discovered that in the field, there existed more drive-ways in the earea than were reflected on the drawings. As part of the City and County specifications when crossing a drive a granular backfill must be used. The quantitiy adjustments here are for this purpose and used to conform to specifications

in the amount of $11,625.00 for Change Order No. 1, and in the amount of $8,300.00 for Change Order No. 2, as set out in the specifications, which are on file in the Office of the Board of Public Works and are by reference incorporated herein and made a part hereof, be and the same is in all things ratified, confirmed, and approved.

SECTION 3: That this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval by the Mayor.

COUNCILMAN

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND
LEGALITY NOVEMBER 20, 1981

BRUCE O. BOXBERGER, CITY ATTORNEY

Read the first time in full and on motion by Burns, seconded by DeLaney, and duly adopted, read the second time by title and referred to the Committee City Utilities (and the City Plan Commission for recommendation) and Public Hearing to be held after due legal notice, at the Council Chambers, City-County Building, Fort Wayne, Indiana, on _____, the _____ day of _____, 19____, at _____ o'clock ___ M.,E.S.T.

DATE: 11-24-81

Charles W. Westerman
CHARLES W. WESTERMAN
CITY CLERK

Read the third time in full and on motion by Burns, seconded by DeDieu, and duly adopted, placed on its passage. PASSED ~~(LOST)~~ by the following vote:

	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAINED	ABSENT	TO-WIT:
TOTAL VOTES	8	1			
BURNS	✓				
EISBART	✓				
GiaQUINTA	✓				
NUCKOLS		✓			
SCHMIDT, D.	✓				
SCHMIDT, V.	✓				
SCHOMBURG	✓				
STIER	✓				
TALARICO	✓				

DATE: 12-8-81

Charles W. Westerman
CHARLES W. WESTERMAN - CITY CLERK

Passed and adopted by the Common Council of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, as (ZONING MAP) (GENERAL) (ANNEXATION) (SPECIAL) (APPROPRIATION) ORDINANCE (RESOLUTION) No. S-276-81

on the 8th day of December, 19 81.

ATTEST: (SEAL)

Charles W. Westerman
CHARLES W. WESTERMAN - CITY CLERK

Robert E. Nuckols
PRESIDING OFFICER

Presented by me to the Mayor of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, on the 9th day of December, 19 81, at the hour of 11:30 o'clock A M.,E.S.T.

Charles W. Westerman
CHARLES W. WESTERMAN - CITY CLERK

Approved and signed by me this 9th day of Dec. 19 81, at the hour of 4 o'clock P M.,E.S.T.

Winfield C. Moses, Jr.
WINFIELD C. MOSES, JR.
MAYOR

BILL NO. S-81-11-51

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON CITY UTILITIES

WE, YOUR COMMITTEE ON City Utilities TO WHOM WAS REFERRED AN ORDINANCE approving Change Order No. 1 & 2, 350-80, Elmcrest Addition, Federal Grant No. C-180599-09, in connection with the Board of Public Works .

HAVE HAD SAID ORDINANCE UNDER CONSIDERATION AND BEG LEAVE TO REPORT BACK TO THE COMMON COUNCIL THAT SAID ORDINANCE DO PASS.

PAUL M. BURNS, CHAIRMAN

VIVIAN G. SCHMIDT, VICE CHAIRMAN

BEN A. EISBART

SAMUEL J. TALARICO

ROY J. SCHOMBURG

12-8-81

CONCURRED IN

DATE _____ CHARLES W. WESTERMAN, CITY CLERK

Law

CHANGE ORDER

Change Order No. 1
Project No. C-180599-09
Name of Project: "ELMCREST ADDITION"

Resolution No. 350-80
Board Order No. 55-80
Date: 10-13-81

To: RICHARD NESS EXCAVATING & TRUCKING, CO.,INC.
(Contractor)

Gentlemen:

You are hereby requested to comply with the following changes from the contract plans and specifications:

Item No.	Quantity	Description of Change Description	Unit Price	Change in Contract Price Decrease	Increase
20	75 LF	15" Encased Boring Complete	210.00	15,750.00	
21	75 LF	15" Encased Hand Tunnel Casing	365.00		27,375.00
		(30" Casing hand mined)			
			Total Decrease	15,750.00	
			Total Increase		27,375.00
		Net (~~Decrease~~)(Increase)	Contract Price		11,625.00

The sum of $ 11,625.00 is hereby (added to)(~~deducted from~~) the total contract price and the final contract price shall be adjusted as follows:

Original Contract Price	
Current Contract Price Adjusted by Previous Change Order	$166,972.75
New Contract Price including this Change Order	$166,972.75
	$178,597.75

The time provided for completion in the contract for this project is (unchanged)(~~increased~~)(~~decreased~~) by -0- calendar days due to this Change Order. The date for completion of all work shall be 5 April 1983. Furthermore, this document shall become an amendment to the contract and all provisions of the contract shall apply hereto.

Recommended by: C Duane Embry Chief Engineer Date 10/20/81

Accepted by: Richard Ness Contractor Date 10/12/81

Approved by: Phillip A Bolla City Engineer Date Nov. 9, 1981

Approved by: [illegible] Owner Date 11-18-81

Robert Anderson Mayor

Betty R Collins

Attested by: Sanford Kennedy Clerk Date 11-18-81

Change Order No. 1

Resolution No. 350-80

Board Order No. 55-80

REQUEST AND JUSTIFICATION FOR CHANGE

1. Necessity for change: After attempting to bore the first footage of casing and encountering rocks from approximately 6" to 18" in diameter in a very hard clay, it became evident that the boring could not be accomplished by conventional boring methods. To continue boring in these ground conditions could threaten the integrity of the bore by creating excessive voids and/or damaging the casing.

The ability to maintain the specified grade with existing ground conditions had become extremely difficult if not impossible and continuing as we are at the present time, could result in an unacceptable bore, because of not meeting specified grade.

Location of specified easements that have been obtained would not allow any significant relocating of boring where other ground conditions may be encountered; therefore, the most effective and economical alternatives is to continue the existing bore by hand mining the remainder of the bore. (See attached sheet for additional cost justification).

2. Will proposed change alter size of the project? Yes _____ No X

If yes, explain

3. Affect on operation and maintenance cost of this project:

No, change due to this change order.

Gregory J. Merrill 10-13-81
Project Engineer

JUSTIFICATION FOR CHANGE ORDER NO. 1
Resolution 350-80
Junk Ditch Study Area - FPA C-180599-09
B.O. #55-80

RICHARD NESS EXCAVATING & TRUCKING CO., INC., Contractor

PRICE DATA BREAKDOWN

Prime Contractor	
Carrier Pipe	$ 50/LF
Subcontractor	
PIT Construction	$ 30/LF
Casing Pipe	
Pipe Cost	$ 48/LF
Cutting & Handling	$ 2/LF
*Hand Mining	$215/LF
Pushing Carrier Pipe and Grouting	$ 20/LF
TOTAL	$365/LF

*HAND MINING

1. Labor -
   3-Man crew, 10/hr/day, 15 days
   Rates:
   $12.88; $11.79; $11.79/hr. -
   Labor Cost/Day = $291.68 straight time
   $109.38 Overtime =

   $80.21/LF

2. Equipment -

   1 Crane
   1 Boring Machine
   1 Compressor with spades, drill bits, hose and equipment

5522

TITLE OF ORDINANCE Change Order #1, Res. 350-80, C-180599-09, Elmcrest Addition

DEPARTMENT REQUESTING ORDINANCE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS 8-81-11-51

SYNOPSIS OF ORDINANCE Change Order #1 & 2, C-180599-09, Res. 350-80, Elmcrest Addition. Richard Ness Excavating & Trucking Co, Inc. Change Order #1 - Due to a great amount of rock it became impssible to bore this crossing and we had to hand tunnel the crossing. Change Order #2 - Items #10 & 11 - During construction of this project, it was discovered that in the field, there existed more driveways in the area than were reflected on the drawings. As part of the City and county specifications when crossing a drive a granular backfill must be used. The quantity adjustments here are for this purpose and used to conform to specifications.

EFFECT OF PASSAGE Continuation of C-180599-09, Res. 350-80, Elmcrest Addition.

EFFECT OF NON-PASSAGE The above described change orders cannot occur.

MONEY INVOLVED (DIRECT COSTS, EXPENDITURE, SAVINGS) $11,625.00(Change Order #1); $8,300.00 (Change Order #2)

ASSIGNED TO COMMITTEE

sa